

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

YERBA BUENA ISLAND TUNNEL
SEISMIC RETROFIT PROJECT
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

PREPARED FOR:

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DISTRICT 04
DIVISION OF TOLL BRIDGE PROGRAM

PREPARED BY:

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DISTRICT 04
DIVISION OF TOLL BRIDGE PROGRAM
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING BRANCH
111 GRAND AVENUE
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA



EA 0434L1
04-SF-80 7.7/7.8

APRIL 1999

REPORT LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared exclusively for Caltrans. The information herein is only valid as of the date of the report, and will require an update to reflect additional information obtained. The conclusions presented are based on the current regulatory climate and may require revision if future regulatory changes occur.

The findings and conclusions as presented in this report are predicted on the results of the limited sampling and laboratory testing performed. In addition, the information obtained is not intended to address potential impacts related to sources other than those specified herein. The report should only be deemed conclusive with respect to the information obtained.

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author who is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the State of California or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DIVISION OF TOLL BRIDGE PROGRAM - ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING BRANCH

Prepared by:

Ricky Teczon Jr. 4/22/99
Signature Date

Ricky Teczon Jr.
Civil and Environmental Engineer

Reviewed by:

Mike Flake 4/22/99
Signature Date

Mike Flake, PE
Civil and Environmental Engineer

Charles D. Smith 4/22/99
Signature Date

Charles Smith
Environmental Engineer

Approved by:

Allen Baradar 4/22/99
Signature Date

Allen Baradar, PE, REA
District Branch Chief

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	1
PROJECT TEAM.....	2
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
SITE INVESTIGATION	4
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	4
1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS	4
1.2 PURPOSE.....	4
2.0 BACKGROUND.....	5
2.1 HAZARDOUS WASTE DETERMINATION CRITERIA.....	5
3.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES	6
3.1 PRE-FIELD ACTIVITIES.....	6
3.2 FIELD ACTIVITIES.....	6
4.0 INVESTIGATIVE METHODS.....	7
4.1 SOIL SAMPLING	7
4.2 LABORATORY ANALYSES.....	7
5.0 INVESTIGATION RESULTS AND FIELD OBSERVATIONS.....	8
5.1 WEST PORTAL.....	8
5.2 EAST PORTAL.....	8
5.3 QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL.....	9
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9

FIGURES

- 1 Vicinity Map
- 2 Site Plan
- 3 Sample Location Plans with Lead Results – West Portal
- 4 Sample Location Plans with Lead Results – East Portal

TABLES

- 1 Summary of Sample Results at the West Portal
- 2 Summary of Sample Results at the East Portal

APPENDICES

- A Laboratory Reports

LIST OF ACRONYMS

Caltrans	State of California – Department of Transportation
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DUP	Duplicate
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
LCS	Laboratory Control Spike
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per liter
NA	Not Analyzed
ppm	parts per million
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
STLC	Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
TTLC	Total Threshold Limit Concentration
WET	Waste Extraction Test
yd ³	cubic yard
<	below detection limit

PROJECT TEAM

Contact	Affiliation	Responsibility
Allen Baradar, PE, REA (510) 286-5636 (510) 286-5650 fax Ricky Teczon Jr. Michael Flake, PE Charles Smith	Caltrans – District 4 Division of Toll Bridge Program Environmental Engineering Branch 111 Grand Avenue, 14 th Floor Oakland, CA 94623	Contract Manager Assistant Contract Managers Project Planning and Coordination, Fieldwork, Site Investigation Report
Ross White (925) 469-9750 (925)469-9749 fax	Geocon Environmental Consultants, Inc. 5673 W. Las Positas Blvd., Suite. 205 Pleasanton, CA 94588 <i>(Caltrans Contractor)</i>	Fieldwork, Sample Collection, Laboratory Assignments
Cheryl De Los Reyes (562) 989-4045 (562) 989-4040 fax	Advanced Technology Laboratories, Inc. 1510 East 33 rd Street Signal Hill, CA 90807 <i>(Geocon Subcontractor)</i>	Sample Holding Laboratory Preparation Laboratory Analyses Laboratory QA/QC

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Site Investigation Report presents the results of a limited soil investigation performed at the Yerba Buena Island Tunnel (YBI Tunnel) on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge (SFOBB). The areas investigated include the soils above and adjacent to the west and east portals of the YBI Tunnel.

The fieldwork for this project was performed under the direct supervision of a Caltrans assistant contract manager. The basic field procedures of this Site Investigation were to collect surficial soil samples and perform laboratory analyses to determine if soils to be excavated are impacted by aerially deposited lead from vehicle exhaust emissions and paint sandblast residue. Surficial soil samples were collected rather than depth samples because of the minor quantity of soil excavation associated with the retrofit project.

Fourteen surficial soil samples were collected on November 23, 1998. Eight surficial soil samples were collected above and adjacent to the west portal (WP1 through WP8) and six surficial soil samples were collected above and adjacent to the east portal (EP1 through EP6).

Twelve soil samples exhibited total lead concentrations greater than ten times the Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration (STLC) value. These samples were subsequently analyzed for soluble lead via the Waste Extraction Test (WET) method. Ten of these samples exhibited soluble lead concentrations greater than the STLC of 5.0 mg/L. These ten soil samples were further analyzed for soluble lead via the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Potential (TCLP) method and exhibited soluble lead concentrations less than the regulatory threshold of 5.0 mg/L. Other total California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 22 metals were not detected at concentrations greater than the respective TTLC values or greater than ten times the respective STLC values.

The presence of elevated total and soluble lead levels in surficial soil within the project limits will likely require the management, treatment, and/or disposal of soil generated from excavations during the construction activities as a California hazardous waste.

SITE INVESTIGATION

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Site Investigation Report presents the results of a limited soil investigation performed at the Yerba Buena Island Tunnel (YBI Tunnel) on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge (SFOBB). The areas investigated include the soils above and adjacent to the west and east portals of the YBI Tunnel.

1.1 Project Description and Proposed Improvements

The project is located on Route I-80, on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, at the Yerba Buena Island Tunnel. The project limits cover the west and east portals of the YBI Tunnel (04-SF-80-7.7/7.8, Bridge No. 34-0004).

Proposed seismic retrofit work at the west portal consists of installing tieback anchors at the face of the portal wall, installing rock bolts at the rock slope of the small wedge at the left shoulder of the portal, retrofit of the portal wall counterforts, and the addition of stiffener beams to the portal wall. The installation of the stiffener beams will necessitate structural excavation and backfill of soil, and the installation of tiebacks and rock bolts will generate residues and debris during drilling of anchorage holes.

Proposed seismic retrofit work at the East Portal consists of installing tieback anchors at the face of the portal wall, retrofit of the portal wall counterforts, addition of stiffener beams to the portal wall, and installation of tieback anchors at the portal retaining walls. The installation of the stiffener beams will necessitate structural excavation and backfill of soil, and the installation of tiebacks will generate residues and debris during drilling of anchorage holes.

1.2 Purpose

Documented sources of metal impacts near the tunnel portals include sandblasting residues of lead paint and aerially deposited lead from vehicle emissions. The objective of this investigation is to determine if contaminated or hazardous materials are present within the soils, due to aerially deposited lead and paint sandblast residue. The location of the structure is depicted on Figure 1 – Vicinity Map. The general site plans for the Yerba Buena Island Tunnel are depicted in Figure 2 – Site Plan. This work was accomplished by collecting surficial soil samples and performing laboratory analyses. The results of this investigation will be used to evaluate health and safety issues; soil re-use options; and appropriate soil disposal requirements.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The existing YBI Tunnel was constructed in the mid-1930s and was modified in the early 1960s. Studies have determined that the arch head walls spanning the tunnel liner at the portals, in addition to the catchment walls on each side of the tunnel are susceptible to failure during a major earthquake event. Additionally, the retaining walls appurtenant to the east portal may be subject to failure, and must be retrofit. To ensure the continuous use of the tunnel, with repairable damage, following a Safety Evaluation Earthquake (Maximum Credible Earthquake) or immediate use with minimal damage following a Functional Evaluation Earthquake, it has been determined that the following strategy will be implemented:

- A grid of rock bolting is necessary to strengthen the arch headwalls at the portals and catchment walls at each side of the tunnel. Rock bolting will be drilled through the walls and lean concrete fill and anchored into the bedrock beyond the fill, with the largest amount of bolting occurring over and beyond tunnel walls where wall height is the greatest. A similar rock bolting strategy will be implemented at the retaining walls appurtenant to the east portal.
- To stabilize the rock slope described above, approximately 15-foot long rock bolts will be drilled into the slope, and will be anchored.

Potential contaminants of concern at the site include heavy metals resulting from aerially deposited lead due to vehicle emissions and paint sandblast residue.

2.1 Hazardous Waste Determination Criteria

Regulatory criteria to classify a waste as "California hazardous" for handling and disposal purposes are contained in the *California Code of Regulations* (CCR), Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 11, Article 3, 66261.24. Criteria to classify a waste as "Resource, Conservation, and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous" are contained in Chapter 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR), Section 261.

For a waste containing metals, the waste is classified as "California hazardous" when its: 1) total metal content exceeds the Total Threshold Limit Concentration (TTLC); or 2) soluble metal content exceeds the Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration (STLC) based on the Waste Extraction Test (WET) analysis. A material is classified as "RCRA hazardous" when its soluble metal content exceeds the Federal Regulatory Level based on Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) testing.

The above regulatory criteria are based on toxicity. Wastes may also be classified as hazardous based on other criteria such as corrosivity and ignitability. However, for the purpose of this investigation, toxicity (i.e., concentration) is the primary factor considered for waste classification.

Waste that is classified as either "California hazardous" or "RCRA hazardous" requires management as a hazardous waste and disposal to an approved disposal facility.

3.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of services for this project includes site meetings, on-site fieldwork, laboratory analyses, and preparation of this Site Investigation Report as described below.

3.1 Pre-Field Activities

- Conducted a pre-work site visit on November 16, 1998 to locate and inspect the work areas and determine the feasibility of proposed sample locations.
- Retained the services of Geocon Environmental Consultants, Inc. to perform sample collection and laboratory assignments.

3.2 Field Activities

The fieldwork for this project was performed under the direct supervision of a Caltrans assistant contract manager. The basic field procedures of this Site Investigation were to collect surficial soil samples and perform laboratory analyses to determine if soils to be excavated are impacted by aerially deposited lead from vehicle exhaust emissions and paint sandblast residue. Surficial soil samples were collected rather than depth samples because of the minor quantity of soil excavation associated with the retrofit project. The site of the investigation is located in Figure 1 – Vicinity Map and depicted in Figure 2 – Site Plan.

Fourteen surficial soil samples were collected on November 23, 1998. Eight surficial soil samples were collected above and adjacent to the west portal (WP1 through WP8) and six surficial soil samples were collected above and adjacent to the east portal (EP1 through EP6). The approximate sampling locations for the west portal and the east portal are depicted on Figure 3 and Figure 4 respectively.

Surficial soil samples were collected at WP-1 and WP-2 to characterize residues and debris resulting from drilling of anchorage holes for the center faced wedge-shaped feature located in the sandstone rock above the right side of the west portal centerline. Seismic retrofit at this location has since been removed from the scope of work for this project. Surficial soil samples were also collected at WP-3, WP-7, and WP-8 to characterize residues and debris resulting from drilling of anchorage holes for the siltstone outcrops at the right shoulder of the portal. Due to the severe slope at this outcrop, samples were collected at the top (WP-3) and toe (WP-7 and WP-8) of the slope. Seismic retrofit at this location has also been removed from the scope of work for this project. Surficial soil samples were collected at WP-3 and WP-4 to characterize soils to be excavated during installation of stiffener beams to the portal wall. Surficial soil samples were collected at WP-4, WP-5, and WP-6 to characterize residues and debris resulting from drilling of anchorage holes for the rock outcrop at the left shoulder of the portal.

Surficial soil samples were collected at EP-1, EP-2, EP-3, EP-4, EP-5, and EP-6 to characterize soils to be excavated during installation of stiffener beams for the portal wall. The installation of tieback anchors at the portal retaining walls will generate residue and debris, thus soil samples

were proposed to be obtained at locations behind each retaining wall. However, due to the severe slopes leading to the portal retaining walls, soil samples were not obtained at these locations. Samples collected adjacent to the retaining walls, EP-1 and EP-6, can be used to determine the disposal requirements for soils and wastes resulting from construction operations at the retaining walls.

Samples were collected directly with the glass jar sample containers to eliminate cross-contamination of samples. This method of sample collection eliminated both the need to carry sample collection equipment and the need to decontaminate sample collection equipment. Soil samples were analyzed for metals due to paint removal activities and vehicle-generated aerially deposited lead.

4.0 INVESTIGATIVE METHODS

The rationale and method of investigation for the sampling procedures and protocols and laboratory analyses are discussed below.

4.1 Soil Sampling

Soil samples were obtained above and adjacent to the Yerba Buena Island Tunnel west and east portals at locations to be excavated during construction and at locations where rock bolts will be installed. Surficial soil samples were collected rather than depth samples because of the minor quantity of soil excavation associated with the retrofit project.

The samples from locations WP1 through WP8 and EP1 through EP6 were collected utilizing a 4-ounce glass jar, labeled, placed in a cooler chilled with ice, and transported to Advanced Technology Laboratories (ATL) under standard chain-of-custody procedures. Soil samples were analyzed for metals accordance with CCR Title 22 using United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Test Method 6010 and EPA Test Method 7471.

4.2 Laboratory Analyses

Soil Samples were submitted to the laboratory for the analysis of CCR Title 22 metals following EPA Test Method 6010 under 48-hour turn-around-time. Soil samples that exhibited a total metal concentration greater than ten times a respective STLC value were subsequently analyzed for the appropriate soluble metal via the TCLP and/or WET.

The Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedures were performed with specificity for each analyte listed in the test method's QA/QC. The laboratory QA/QC procedures include the following:

- One method blank for every ten samples, batch of samples, or type of matrix, whichever is more frequent.
- One sample analyzed in duplicate for every ten samples, batch of samples, or type of matrix, whichever is more frequent.

- One spiked sample for every ten samples, batch of samples or type of matrix, whichever if more frequent, with spike made at ten times the detection limit or at the analyte level.

Prior to submitting the soil and groundwater samples to the laboratory, the chain-of-custody documentation was reviewed for accuracy and completeness. All samples were preserved at 4° C within sealed ice chests and submitted to the laboratory within proper holding times. The laboratory reports were also reviewed for accuracy and consistency with the chain-of-custody documentation. In addition, the laboratory QA/QC summary reports were reviewed to determine if the laboratory results are within tolerance control limits. Based upon this review process, the data quality appears to be adequate. The results of the QA/QC analyses conducted are presented in Appendix A.

5.0 INVESTIGATION RESULTS AND FIELD OBSERVATIONS

The investigation results from the soil sampling are discussed below along with observations made in the field. A summary of the analytical laboratory test results for total and soluble CCR Title 22 metals is presented in Table 1 and Table 2 for the west and east portals respectively. Copies of the laboratory reports and chain-of-custody documentation for the soil samples are included in Appendix A.

5.1 West Portal

Seven soil samples exhibited total lead concentrations greater than ten times the STLC value. These samples were subsequently analyzed for soluble lead via the WET method. Six of these samples exhibited soluble lead concentrations greater than the STLC of 5.0 mg/L. These six soil samples were further analyzed for soluble lead via the TCLP and exhibited soluble lead concentrations less than the regulatory threshold of 5.0 mg/L. Other total Title 22 metals were not detected at concentrations greater than the respective TTLC values or greater than ten times the respective STLC values. The summary of the analytical laboratory results is presented in Table 1.

5.2 East Portal

Five soil samples exhibited total lead concentrations greater than ten times the STLC value. These samples were subsequently analyzed for soluble lead via the WET method. Four of these samples exhibited soluble lead concentrations greater than the STLC of 5.0 mg/L. These four soil samples were further analyzed for soluble lead via the TCLP and exhibited soluble lead concentrations less than the regulatory threshold of 5.0 mg/L. Other total Title 22 metals were not detected at concentrations greater than the respective TTLC values or greater than ten times the respective STLC values. The summary of the analytical laboratory results is presented in Table 2.

5.3 Quality Assurance / Quality Control

The results of the QA/QC spike recovery and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) analyses for total metals, WET, and TCLP were within the normal parameters specified by the laboratory for all constituents of concern. However, the results of the QA/QC spike recovery and RPD analyses for sample ID 30941-014 of quality control batch number 981201S-2 were within the normal parameters specified by the laboratory for all constituents of concern except lead. The percent matrix spike duplicate recovery for lead (144 %) exceeded the limits specified by the laboratory (68 – 106 %) due to the relatively high sample concentration. The laboratory attributed this to the heterogeneous nature of the sample and confirmed that the laboratory results were indeed reliable since the LCS percent recovery of 97 % for lead was within the specified range of 68 – 106 %, as was the case for each of the constituents.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The presence of elevated total and soluble lead levels in surficial soil within the project limits will likely require the management, treatment, and/or disposal of soil generated from excavations during the construction activities as a California hazardous waste.


Note that depth samples were not collected because of the minor quantities of structural excavation and backfill (25 yd³ and 13 yd³ respectively) involved in this retrofit project. Rather than attempt to segregate materials into hazardous and non-hazardous quantities, those areas of excavation with samples constituting hazardous waste shall be treated as containing hazardous soils. Consequently, soil excavated during the installation of stiffener beams and residue and debris generated during drilling for anchorage holes should be considered hazardous. Based upon the data collected from the above locations and CCR Title 22 criteria the material resulting from excavation and drilling operations are assumed hazardous and suitable only for disposal at a Class I Landfill.

The areas behind the retaining walls on either side of the east portal wall were inaccessible due to vegetative cover and extreme slopes, thus samples were not collected. However, based upon the data collected from adjacent sample locations the material should be assumed hazardous and suitable only for disposal at a Class I Landfill.

The excavation contractor(s) should prepare a comprehensive health and safety plan for construction activities scheduled to occur within the project boundaries defined in this Site Investigation Report which includes discussion of the constituents of concern, routes of exposure, permissible exposure limits, and personal protective measures. The health and safety plan should be reviewed and signed by the on-site construction workers prior to any field activities.

FIGURE 1

VICINITY MAP



Printed from TOPO! ©1997 Wildflower Productions (www.topo.com)

FIGURE 2

SITE PLAN

CA Dept of Transportation
District 04
Environmental Engineering
Toll Bridge Section

Hazardous Waste Site
Investigation of Soils
Yerba Buena Tunnel
Retrofit Project

FIGURE 2
SITE PLAN





FIGURE 3

**SAMPLE LOCATION PLANS WITH LEAD RESULTS
WEST PORTAL**



CA Dept of Transportation
District 04
Environmental Engineering
Toll Bridge Section


Hazardous Waste Site
Investigation of Soils
Yerba Buena Tunnel
Retrofit Project

FIGURE 3

SAMPLE LOCATION PLANS
WITH LEAD RESULTS

FIGURE 4

**SAMPLE LOCATION PLANS WITH LEAD RESULTS
EAST PORTAL**



Tables

TABLE 1. WEST PORTAL SAMPLE RESULTS

TOTAL METALS

Constituent	Detection Limit	TTLC	10×STLC	SAMPLE RESULTS									
				WP-1	WP-2	WP-3	WP-4	WP-4 (DUP)	WP-5	WP-6	WP-7	WP-8	WP-8 (DUP)
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/L	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Antimony	0.25	500	150	0.40	0.76	0.66	0.80	0.66	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25
Arsenic	0.25	500	50	5.6	6.5	5.9	8.8	9.4	5.2	4.5	5.2	8.5	11
Barium	0.050	10,000	1,000	135	147	38	133	146	57	62	309	223	228
Beryllium	0.050	75	7.5	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.18	0.19	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
Cadmium	0.15	100	10	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	0.21	<0.15	<0.15
Chromium	0.15	500	50	22	24	41	41	45	21	49	33	40	39
Cobalt	0.15	8,000	800	9.1	8.3	7.9	8.0	9.1	5.2	5.1	5.0	12	14
Copper	0.15	2,500	250	16	20	12	39	42	8.6	15	28	64	62
Lead	0.25	1,000	50	158	182	87	130	137	33	180	1,060	1,630	1,170
Mercury*	0.10	20	2	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	0.13	NA	0.25	0.20	<0.10	0.21	0.20
Molybdenum	0.25	3,500	3,500	0.84	1.1	1.0	1.5	1.7	0.93	0.71	1.9	2.2	2.2
Nickel	0.15	2,000	200	38	30	32	27	28	26	29	42	49	52
Selenium	0.25	100	10	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	0.31	0.50	0.40	<0.25	0.30	0.40	<0.25
Silver	0.050	500	50	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.36	0.56	0.071	0.60	0.72	0.54	0.24
Thallium	0.25	700	70	1.1	0.96	0.68	1.4	1.7	0.72	0.41	0.30	1.7	1.6
Vanadium	0.15	2,400	240	21	23	29	29	31	16	17	16	27	30
Zinc	0.50	5,000	2,500	64	127	41	126	131	35	129	117	201	171

SOLUBLE METALS

Sampling Point	TTLC	10×STLC	Detection Limit			Lead Result			Disposal Classification
			Total	WET	TCLP	Total	WET	TCLP	
	mg/kg	mg/L	mg/kg	mg/L	mg/L	mg/kg	mg/L	mg/L	
WP-1	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	158	8.0	0.34	Hazardous
WP-2	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	182	7.9	0.40	Hazardous
WP-3	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	87	4.2	NA	
WP-4	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	130/137	5.4	0.34	Hazardous
WP-5	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	33	NA	NA	
WP-6	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	180	9.3/9.3	0.39	Hazardous
WP-7	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	1,060	34	0.55	Hazardous
WP-8	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	1630/1170	84	2.1	Hazardous

Notes: * = Analysis by EPA Method 7471

NA = Not Analyzed

< = Less than the detection limit

TABLE 2. EAST PORTAL SAMPLE RESULTS

TOTAL METALS

Constituent	Detection Limit	TTLC	10×STLC	SAMPLE RESULTS					
				EP-1	EP-2	EP-3	EP-4	EP-5	EP-6
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/L	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Antimony	0.25	500	150	0.91	0.55	0.61	0.54	<0.25	0.54
Arsenic	0.25	500	50	7.6	6.3	8.4	8.2	2.7	7.6
Barium	0.050	10,000	1,000	155	215	138	371	65	227
Beryllium	0.050	75	7.5	<0.050	0.10	0.091	0.15	0.093	<0.050
Cadmium	0.15	100	10	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15
Chromium	0.15	500	50	21	16	31	17	5.3	20
Cobalt	0.15	8,000	800	6.9	9.6	10	11	3.1	7.1
Copper	0.15	2,500	250	25	24	22	30	7.0	21
Lead	0.25	1,000	50	420	239	84	151	19	185
Mercury	0.10	20	2	<0.10	0.23	0.11	0.2	<0.10	0.20
Molybdenum	0.25	3,500	3,500	1.9	1.1	1.5	1.2	0.37	2.6
Nickel	0.15	2,000	200	23	23	37	33	6.9	23
Selenium	0.25	100	10	0.40	0.44	0.53	1.0	<0.25	<0.25
Silver	0.050	500	50	0.51	0.12	<0.050	0.13	<0.050	<0.050
Thallium	0.25	700	70	1.7	1.2	1.6	1.4	0.59	1.1
Vanadium	0.15	2,400	240	24	24	34	27	10	23
Zinc	0.50	5,000	2,500	81	69	116	81	25	87

SOLUBLE METALS

Sampling Point	TTLC	10×STLC	Detection Limit			Lead Result			Disposal Classification
			Total	WET	TCLP	Total	WET	TCLP	
			mg/kg	mg/L	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/L	mg/L	
EP-1	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	420	23	0.46	Hazardous
EP-2	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	239	13	0.19	Hazardous
EP-3	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	84	2.4	NA	Hazardous
EP-4	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	151	5.4	0.32	Hazardous
EP-5	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	19	NA	NA	Hazardous
EP-6	1,000	50	0.25	0.15	0.10	185	18.0	0.42	Hazardous

Notes: * = Analysis by EPA Method 7471

NA = Not Analyzed

< = Less than the detection limit

Appendix A

LABORATORY RESULTS

**Advanced Technology
Laboratories**

December 2, 1998

ELAP No.: 1838

Geocon Environmental
3478 Buskirk Ave., Suite 1042
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

ATTN: Mr. Ross White

Client's Project: SFOBB I-80, S8130-06-99
Lab No.: 30941-001/014

Gentlemen:

Enclosed are the results for sample(s) received by Advanced Technology Laboratories and tested for the parameters indicated in the enclosed chain of custody.

Thank you for the opportunity to service the needs of your company. Please feel free to call me at (562) 989 - 4045 if I can be of further assistance to your company.

Sincerely,



Cheryl De Los Reyes
Technical Operations Manager
CDR/ra

Enclosures

This cover letter is an integral part of this analytical report.

This report pertains only to the samples investigated and does not necessarily apply to other apparently identical or similar materials. This report is submitted for the exclusive use of the client to whom it is addressed. Any reproduction of this report or use of this laboratory's name for advertising or publicity purpose without authorization is prohibited.

Client: Geocon Environmental
From: Mr. Ross White

Client's Project: SFOBB I-80, S8130-06-99
Date Received: 11/24/98
Matrix: Soil
Units: mg/kg
Assessment Method: EPA 3050

EPA 6010 (CCR Title 22)

Lab No.:	30941-009	30941-010	30941-010Dup	30941-011	30941-012	30941-013	30941-014	30941-014Dup
Client Sample I.D.:	WP-3	WP-4	WP-4	WP-5	WP-6	WP-7	WP-8	WP-8
Date Sampled:	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98
Date Digested:	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98
Date Analyzed:	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98
Analyst Initials:	LP/DJ							
Dilution Factor:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

ANALYSTS' DATA	RESULTS	UNITS								
Antimony	0.25	0.66	0.80	0.66	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Arsenic	0.25	5.9	8.8	9.4	5.2	4.5	5.2	8.5	11	
Barium	0.050	38	133	146	57	62	309	223	228	
Beryllium	0.050	ND	0.18	0.19	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Cadmium	0.15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chromium	0.15	41	41	45	21	49	33	40	39	
Cobalt	0.15	7.9	8.0	9.1	5.2	5.1	5.0	12	14	
Copper	0.15	12	39	42	8.6	15	28	64	62	
Lead	0.25	87	130	137	33	180	1060	1630	1170	
Mercury **	0.10	ND	0.13	NA	0.25	0.20	ND	0.21	0.20	
Molybdenum	0.25	1.0	1.5	1.7	0.93	0.71	1.9	2.2	2.2	
Nickel	0.15	32	27	28	26	29	42	49	52	
Selenium	0.25	ND	0.31	0.50	0.40	ND	0.30	0.40	ND	
Silver	0.050	ND	0.36	0.56	0.071	0.60	0.72	0.54	0.24	
Thallium	0.25	0.68	1.4	1.7	0.72	0.41	0.30	1.7	1.6	
Titanium	0.15	29	29	31	16	17	16	27	30	
Zinc	0.50	41	126	131	35	129	117	201	171	

DL = Method Detection Limit

ND = Not Detected (Below DLR).

NLR = MDL X Dilution Factor

* = Only listed constituents designated with TTLC and STLC under CCR Title 22

** = Analysis by EPA Method 7471

Reviewed/Approved By: RS f
Cheryl De Los Reyes
Technical Operations Manager

Date: 12-2-98

The cover letter is an integral part of this analytical report.

Client: Geocon Environmental
Attn: Mr. Ross White

Client's Project: SFOBB I-80, S8130-06-99
Date Received: 11/24/98
Matrix: Soil
Units: mg/kg
Digestion Method: EPA 3050

EPA 6010 (CCR Title 22)

Lab No.	30941-001	30941-002	30941-003	30941-004	30941-005	30941-006	30941-007	30941-008
Client Sample ID.:	EP-1	EP-2	EP-3	EP-4	EP-5	EP-6	WP-1	WP-2
Date Sampled:	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98	11/23/98
Date Digested:	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98	11/25-12/01/98
Date Analyzed:	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98	12/01/98
Analyst Initials:	LP/DJ							
Dilution Factor:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

ANALYST	DLR	RESULTS							
Antimony	0.25	0.91	0.55	0.61	0.54	ND	0.54	0.40	0.76
Arsenic	0.25	7.6	6.3	8.4	8.2	2.7	7.6	5.6	6.5
Barium	0.050	155	215	138	371	65	227	135	147
Beryllium	0.050	ND	0.10	0.091	0.15	0.093	ND	ND	ND
Cadmium	0.15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chromium	0.15	21	16	31	17	5.3	20	22	24
Cobalt	0.15	6.9	9.6	10	11	3.1	7.1	9.1	8.3
Copper	0.15	25	24	22	30	7.0	21	16	20
Lead	0.25	420	239	84	151	19	185	158	182
Mercury **	0.10	ND	0.23	0.11	0.20	ND	0.20	ND	ND
Molybdenum	0.25	1.9	1.1	1.5	1.2	0.37	2.6	0.84	1.1
Nickel	0.15	23	23	37	33	6.9	23	38	30
Selenium	0.25	0.40	0.44	0.53	1.0	ND	ND	ND	ND
Silver	0.050	0.51	0.12	ND	0.13	ND	ND	ND	ND
Thallium	0.25	1.7	1.2	1.6	1.4	0.59	1.1	1.1	0.96
Vanadium	0.15	24	24	34	27	10	23	21	23
Zinc	0.50	81	69	116	81	25	87	64	127

MDL = Method Detection Limit

ND = Not Detected (Below DLR).

DLR = MDL X Dilution Factor

* = Only listed constituents designated with TTLC and STLC under CCR Title 22

** = Analysis by EPA Method 7471

Reviewed/Approved By: CCF
Cheryl De Los Reyes
Technical Operations Manager

Date: 12-2-98

The cover letter is an integral part of this analytical report.

Spike Recovery and RPD Summary Report

Method: EPA 6010
Analyst: LP/OI
Date File: ICAP81201-1
QA File: 8335-1GC

Date Analyzed: 12/01/98
Date Digested: 12/01/98
Sample ID: 30941-010
Matrix: Soil

SAMPLE ID	UNITS	LCS Conc.	LCS Res.	% Rec.	METH BLANK	SPL CONC.	SPL DUP	% Dev	SPK ADDED	MS RESULT	MSD RESULT	%MSD REC	%MSD REC	% REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	MDL
Antimony	mg/kg	1.0	0.97	97	ND	0.80	0.66	19	125	69	79	54	63	32-110	15	20	0.25
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.0	0.99	99	ND	0.8	9.4	7	125	116	116	86	86	68-105	0	20	0.25
Barium	mg/kg	1.0	0.98	98	ND	133	146	9	125	279	259	117	101	22-170	7	20	0.05
Beryllium	mg/kg	1.0	1.0	100	ND	0.18	0.19	5	125	122	122	97	97	47-134	0	20	0.05
Cadmium	mg/kg	1.0	0.95	95	ND	ND	ND	0	125	108	107	86	86	65-106	1	20	0.05
Chromium	mg/kg	1.0	0.96	96	ND	41	45	9	125	153	158	90	94	61-128	3	20	0.15
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.0	0.90	90	ND	8.0	9.1	13	125	115	111	86	82	68-112	4	20	0.15
Copper	mg/kg	1.0	0.97	97	ND	39	42	7	125	177	177	110	110	60-133	0	20	0.15
Lead	mg/kg	1.0	0.97	97	ND	130	137	5	125	239	227	87	78	68-106	5	20	0.25
Molybdenum	mg/kg	1.0	0.99	99	ND	1.5	1.7	13	125	112	114	86	90	67-107	2	20	0.25
Nickel	mg/kg	1.0	0.92	92	ND	27	28	4	125	137	134	88	88	29-155	2	20	0.15
Selenium	mg/kg	1.0	0.92	92	ND	0.31	0.50	47	125	104	103	83	82	51-114	1	20	0.25
Silver	mg/kg	1.0	0.81	91	ND	0.36	0.56	43	125	106	106	85	85	46-111	0	20	0.05
Thallium	mg/kg	1.0	0.97	97	ND	1.4	1.7	19	125	113	113	89	89	60-111	0	20	0.25
Vanadium	mg/kg	1.0	0.99	99	ND	29	31	7	125	141	146	80	94	71-110	3	20	0.15
Zinc	mg/kg	1.0	0.92	92	ND	126	131	4	125	232	227	85	81	47-128	2	20	0.50

Approved by:

DSF
David J. Kern
Inorganics Supervisor

12-2-98
Date:

Spike Recovery and RPD Summary Report

Method: EPA7471
Analyst: DJ
Data File: 811253
QA File: 8329-3

Date Analyzed: 11-25-98
Date Digested: 11-25-98
Sample ID: see below
Metric: *see next page*

Symptom record in children with *de novo* chronic fatigue

Approved by: David J. Kem
Inorganics Supervisor

Date: 12-2-982

David J. Klem

Approved by:

11

Advanced Technology
Laboratories

Advanced Technology

Laboratories		Batch #:	D.O. #	Method of Transport
P.O.#:		Walk-in	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. CHILLED
Logged By:	(M)	Courier	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. SEALED
Date:	11-24	UPS	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y □ N <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Time:	FED. EXP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. HEADSPACE (VOA) Y □ N <input type="checkbox"/>
		ATL	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. # OF SPLS/MATCH COC Y Q N <input type="checkbox"/>
				3. CONTAINER INTACT Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> 6. PRESERVED Y □ N <input type="checkbox"/>

Client: GEORGE
Attn: BOSS WHITTE

Project Name: STQB BB T-80

Retired by: BOSS WHITTE Printed Name:
Retired by: (Signature and Printed Name)

Retired by: (Signature and Printed Name)

SHIP TO LAB:
(SUB CONTRACT)
TEST: _____
AT. #: _____
DATE: _____
CLIENT ID: _____

I hereby authorize ATL to perform the work indicated below:
Project Mgr/Submitter:

Boss White
Print Name

Signature

Unless otherwise requested, all samples will be disposed 45 days after receipt.

* \$10.00 FEE PER HAZARDOUS SAMPLE DISPOSAL.

LAB USE ONLY:
Batch #:

Lab No. Sample I.D. Date Time

30941-001	EP-1	11/23	1008
002	EP-2	1	1010
003	EP-3	1012	
004	EP-4	1013	
005	EP-5	1014	
006	EP-6	1016	
001	WP-1	1026	
008	WP-2	1027	
001	WP-3	1025	
010	WP-4	1030	

- TAT starts 8 a.m. following day if samples received after 5 p.m.

TAT: A= Overnigh^t B= Emergency

C= Next workday

D= Urgent
3 Workdays

E= Routine
7 Workdays

Preservatives:
H=HCl N=HNO₃ S=H₂SO₄ C=4'C
O=NaOH T=Na₂SO₄

DISTRIBUTION: White with report, Yellow to folder, Pink to submitter.

Container Types: T=Tube V=VOA L=Jar F=Liter P=Plastic G=Glass

M=Metal



Advanced Technology

Laboratories

1510 E. 33rd Street
Signal Hill, CA 90807
(562) 989-4045 • FAX (562) 989-4040

Batch #:	D.O. #	Method of Transport
Walk-in	1. CHILLED	
Courier	2. HEADSPACE (VOA)	
UPS	Y □ NO 5. # OF SPLS MATCH COC Y □ NO	
FED. EXP.	3. CONTAINER INTACT Y □ NO 6. PRESERVED Y □ NO	
ATL		

P.O.#: W Date: 11-14 Time: 10:55

Logged By: Ross White


Client: <u>GEOCON</u>	Project #: <u>SG130-06-99</u>	Address: <u>6000 Pleasant Hill</u>	City: <u>Pleasant Hill</u>	State: <u>CA</u>	Zip Code: <u>94523</u>	TEL: <u>(415) 746-7141</u>	TELE: <u>(415) 746-7141</u>	
Reinquished By: <u>Ross White</u>	Date: <u>11/23/98</u>	Time: <u>1500</u>	Received by: <u>Ross White</u>	Date: <u>11/23/98</u>	Time: <u>1500</u>	Received by: <u>Ross White</u>	Date: <u>11/23/98</u>	Time: <u>1500</u>
Reinquished By: <u>Ross White</u>	Date: <u>11/23/98</u>	Time: <u>1500</u>	Received by: <u>Ross White</u>	Date: <u>11/23/98</u>	Time: <u>1500</u>	Received by: <u>Ross White</u>	Date: <u>11/23/98</u>	Time: <u>1500</u>
Reinquished By: <u>Ross White</u>	Date: <u>11/23/98</u>	Time: <u>1500</u>	Received by: <u>Ross White</u>	Date: <u>11/23/98</u>	Time: <u>1500</u>	Received by: <u>Ross White</u>	Date: <u>11/23/98</u>	Time: <u>1500</u>

SHIP TO LAB: (SUB CONTRACT) TEST: _____ ATL #: _____ DATE: _____ CLIENT I.D.: _____	I hereby authorize ATL to perform the work indicated below: Project Mgr /Submitter: <u>Ross White</u> Date: <u>11-27-98</u> Print Name: <u>Ross White</u> Signature: <u>Ross White</u>	Send Report To: Attn: _____ Co: _____ Address: _____ City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____	Circle or Add Analysis(es) Requested	CIRCLE APPROPRIATE MATRIX	Q/A/Q/C TESTING ATMNE □ RWQC □ WIP □ NAVY □ CT □ OTHER □	REMARKS
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

Unless otherwise requested, all samples will be disposed 45 days after receipt.	Sample Archive/Disposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Standard <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Return To: _____	• \$10.00 FEE PER HAZARDOUS SAMPLE DISPOSAL.	Sample Description	TAT #	Type	REMARKS
T	Lab No.	Sample I.D.	Date	Time		
E	30941-D11	WP-5	11/23	10:22		
M	012	WP-6	10:34			
	013	WP-7	09:51			
	014	WP-8	09:52			

Emergency	B = Next workday	C = 2 Workdays	D = 3 Workdays	E = 7 Workdays	Routine	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Preservatives:
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	H=HCl N=HNO ₃ S=H ₂ SO ₄ C=4'C Z=Zn(AC) ₂ O=NaOH T=Na ₂ SiO ₃
Container Types: T=Tube V=VOA L=Liter P=Plint J=Jar B=Tediar G=Glass P=Plastic M=Metal						
DISTRIBUTION: White with report, Yellow to folder, Pink to submitter.						

- TAT starts 8 a.m. following day if samples received after 5 p.m.



Advanced Technology

Laboratories

December 4, 1998

ELAP No.: 1838

Geocon Environmental
3478 Buskirk Ave., Suite 1042
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

ATTN: Mr. Ross White


Client's Project: SFOBBI-80, S8130-06-99
Lab No.: 31114-001/012

Gentlemen:

Enclosed are the results for sample(s) received by Advanced Technology Laboratories and tested for the parameters indicated in the enclosed chain of custody.

Thank you for the opportunity to service the needs of your company. Please feel free to call me at (562) 989 - 4045 if I can be of further assistance to your company.

Sincerely,



Cheryl De Los Reyes
Technical Operations Manager
CDR/ra

Enclosures

This cover letter is an integral part of this analytical report.

This report pertains only to the samples investigated and does not necessarily apply to other apparently identical or similar materials. This report is submitted for the exclusive use of the client to whom it is addressed. Any reproduction of this report or use of this Laboratory's name for advertising or publicity purpose without authorization is prohibited.

lent:
Mr. Ross White

ent's Project: SFOBBI-80, S8130-06-99

Date Received: 11/24/98

Date Sampled: 11/23/98

Date Extracted: 12/02/98

Extraction Method: WET (Title 22, CCR 66261.100, Appendix II)

** Date Extracted: 12/02/98

** Extraction Method: WET (Title 22, CCR 66261.100, Appendix II) Modified

Lab No.	Sample ID	Analyte	Date Analyzed	Results	Method Used	MDL	DLR	DF
31114-001	EP-1	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	23	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.60	DJ
114-002	EP-2	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	13	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.30	DJ
31114-003	EP-3	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	2.4	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.15	DJ
114-004	EP-4	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	5.4	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.15	DJ
31114-005	EP-6	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	18	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.45	DJ
114-006	WP-1	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	8.0	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.15	DJ
31114-007	WP-2	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	7.9	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.15	DJ
114-008	WP-3	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	4.2	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.15	DJ
114-009	WP-4	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	5.4	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.15	DJ
31114-010	WP-6	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	9.3	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.15	DJ
114-010Dup	WP-6	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	9.3	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.15	DJ
31114-011	WP-7	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	34	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	0.75	DJ
114-012	WP-8	EPA 7420 (Lead)*	12/04/98	84	STLC Extract, mg/L	0.15	2.6	DJ
31114-011	WP-7	EPA 6010 (Lead)**	12/03/98	0.55	TCLP Extract, mg/L	0.10	0.10	LP
114-012	WP-8	EPA 6010 (Lead)**	12/03/98	2.1	TCLP Extract, mg/L	0.10	0.10	LP

DL = Method Detection Limit

D = Not Detected (Below DLR)

DF = Dilution Factor (DLR/MDL)

Reviewed/Approved By:

125 f
Cheryl de los Reyes
Technical Operations Manager

Date: *12-4-98*

This cover letter is an integral part of this analytical report

Spike Recovery and RPI Summary Report

Method: EPA74-0(Lead)
Analyst: DJ OL
Data File: AA81204-1
QA File: 9339-1

Date Analyzed: 12-04-98 **Date Extracted:** 12-02-98 **Sample ID:** see below

Appointed by:

Date: 12-4-98

Advanced Technology
Laboratories

Spike Recovery and RPO Summary Report

Method: 6010
Analyst: LP/OL
QA File: 8337-4T
Date File: ICAP 812034

Date Analyzed: 12/03/08 Date Extracted: 12/03/08

Date Extracted: 12/02/98
Sample ID: 30738-00

Sample ID: 30738-00 Matrix: TCP Fm

TCLP Extra

UIC Batch REPLICAP 8812031-1										
ANALYTE	UNITS	METH BLANK	LCS Conc.	LCS Res.	% Res.	SPL CONC	SPK ADDED	MS RESULT	MS RESULT T	MSD RESULT
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Arsenic	mg/L	ND	1.0	0.96	96	ND	6.0	4.0	3.8	80
Barium	mg/L	ND	1.0	0.99	99	ND	6.0	4.5	4.3	90
Cadmium	mg/L	ND	1.0	0.93	93	ND	5.0	3.9	3.8	78
Chromium	mg/L	ND	1.0	0.98	98	ND	5.0	4.2	4.0	84
Lead	mg/L	ND	1.0	0.95	95	ND	5.0	3.9	3.8	76
Selenium	mg/L	ND	1.0	0.88	88	ND	5.0	3.6	3.4	70
Silver	mg/L	ND	1.0	0.88	88	ND	5.0	3.4	3.3	68

Approved by: David J. Kern
Inogenica Supervisor

Date: 12-4-98

Advanced Technology
Laboratories

Advanced Technology Laboratories								Sample Condition Upon Receipt					
1510 E. 33rd Street Signal Hill, CA 90807 (562) 989-4045 • FAX (562) 989-4040				Project Name: SFTP#F-80				D.O.I.		Method of Transport		1. CHILLED	
Client: (28)CC01 Attn: (2025) White		P.O.#:		Logged By: (21)		Batch #:		Walk-in		Courier		Y □ N □ 4. SEALED	
								UPS		FED. EXP.		Y □ N □ 2. HEADSPACE (VOA)	
												5. # OF SPLS/MATCH COC Y □ N □	
												6. PRESERVED Y □ N □ 3. CONTAINER INTACT Y □ N □	
Reinquished by: (Signature and Printed Name)		Date:		Time:		Received by: (Signature and Printed Name)		Date:		Time:		TE: (925) 746-7141	
Reinquished by: (Signature and Printed Name)		Date:		Time:		Received by: (Signature and Printed Name)		Date:		Time:		FAX: (925) 746-7144	
Reinquished by: (Signature and Printed Name)		Date:		Time:		Received by: (Signature and Printed Name)		Date:		Time:		(Signature)	
SHIP TO LAB: (SUB CONTRACT)		I hereby authorize ATL to perform the work indicated below: Project Mgr/Submitter: TEST: _____ ATL #: _____ DATE: _____ CLIENT ID: _____						Send Report To: Attn: _____ Co: _____ Address: _____ City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____		Circle Appropriate Matrix		QA/QC	
Unless otherwise requested, all samples will be disposed 45 days after receipt.		Sample Archival/Disposal: □ Laboratory Standard □ Other _____ □ Return To: _____						416.1 TRPH(R) 4015M TPHD (Dishes-GC) 6258270 (BNA-GCMS) 6260 (Vials-GCMs) 6020/820/BTEX (Normal Volumes-GC) 6018010 (Hazardous Volumes-GC) 6088080 (Passive Volumes-GC) 6241820 (Nucleus-GCMs) 6015M TPHD (Dishes-GC) 6258270 (BNA-GCMS) 6260 (Vials-GCMs) 6020/820/BTEX (Normal Volumes-GC) 6018010 (Hazardous Volumes-GC)		RTNE □ RWQCB □ WIP □ NAVY □ CT □ OTHER □		EXTRACTION CONTAINER(S)	
LAB USE ONLY: Batch #:		* \$10.00 FEE PER HAZARDOUS SAMPLE DISPOSAL.						TAT #		Type		REMARKS	
E		Lab No.		Sample I.D.		Date		Time					
M		31111-001		309411-001/EP-1		11/23							
E		002		002/EP-2									
M		003		003/EP-3									
E		004		004/EP-4									
M		005		005/EP-5									
E		006		006/WP-1									
M		007		008/WP-2									
E		008		009/WP-3									
M		009		010/WP-4									
E		010		012/WP-10									
Emergency B= Next Workday A= Overnight ≤ 24 hr		TAT: A=		C= Critical C= 2 Workdays		D= Urgent D= 3 Workdays		E= Routine E= 7 Workdays		Preservatives: H=HCl N=HNO ₃ S=H ₂ SO ₄ C=4'C Z=Zn(AC) ₂ O=NaOH M=Metal T=Na ₂ SiO ₃			
Container Types: T=Tube V=VOA L=Liter P=Pint J=Jar B=Tedar G=Glass P=Plastic M=Metal													
DISTRIBUTION: White with report. Yellow to folder. Pink to submitter.													

* TAT starts 8 a.m. following day if samples received after 5 p.m.



January 27, 1999

ELAP No.: 1838

Geocon Environmental
3478 Buskirk Avenue, Suite 1042
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

ATTN: Ross White
SUBJECT: AMENDED REPORT

Client's Project: S8130-06-99
Lab No.: 32066-001/008

The report was amended for the following:

The sample I.D. For 32066-003 was corrected.

Please disregard all previous documentation that corresponds to the pages(s) enclosed.

Sincerely,


Cheryl De Los Reyes
Technical Operations Manager
CDR/jh

Enclosures

This cover letter is an integral part of this analytical report.

This report pertains only to the samples investigated and does not necessarily apply to other apparently identical or similar materials. This report is submitted for the exclusive use of the client to whom it is addressed. Any reproduction of this report or use of this Laboratory's name for advertising or publicity purpose without authorization is prohibited.

Client: Geocon Environmental Consultants
Attn: Mr. Ross White

Client's Project: S8130-06-99

Date Received: 12/02/98
Date Sampled: 11/23/98
Date Extracted: 01/05/99
Extraction Method: EPA 131
Date Amended: 01/22/99

MDL = Method Detection Limit

ND = Not Detected (Below DLR)

DF = Dilution Factor (DLR/MDL)

Reviewed/Approved By:

Cheryl de los Reyes
Technical Operations Manager

Date: 1/22/99

The cover letter is an integral part of this analytical report.



Advanced Technology
Laboratories

1510 E. 33rd Street Signal Hill, CA 90807 Tel: 562 989-4045 Fax: 562 989-4044

FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY:

Advanced Technology**Laboratories**

1510 E. 3rd Street
Signal Hill, CA 90807
(562) 989-4045 • FAX (562) 989-4040

Client: QPC(D)
Attn: RCS, Unit 2.

Project Name:

Batch #:	D.O. #	Method of Transport	Sample Condition Upon Receipt
P.O. #:		Walk-in	1. CHILLED
Logged By:		Courier	Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> 4. SEALED
Date:	Time:	UPS	2. HEADSPACE (VOA)
		FED. EXP.	Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. # OF SPLS MATCH COC Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>
		ATL	3. CONTAINER INTACT Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> 6. PRESERVED Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Address:	311 S. Blackwck, Ave., Ste 1042	TEL: ()
City	State	Zip Code

(Signature)

Relinquished by: (Signature and Printed Name)	Date:	Received by: (Signature and Printed Name)	Date: 12-08
Relinquished by: (Signature and Printed Name)	Date:	Received by: (Signature and Printed Name)	Date:

Relinquished by: (Signature and Printed Name)	Date:	Received by: (Signature and Printed Name)	Date:
-----------------------------------------------	-------	-------------------------------------------	-------

Project #: SC137-O6-M	Sampler:	(Printed Name)	Special Instructions/Comments:

Relinquished by: (Signature and Printed Name)	Date:	Send Report To:	
		Attn:	
		Co:	
		Address	
		City	
		State	
		Zip	

SHIP TO LAB: (SUB CONTRACT)	I hereby authorize ATL to perform the work indicated below: Project Mgr /Submitter:	Circle or Add Analyst(s) Requested	CIRCLE APPROPRIATE MATRIX
TEST			Q/A/QC
ATL #			Routine
DATE			AWOCB
CLIENT ID			WIP
			NAVY
			CT
			OTHER

Unless otherwise requested, all samples will be disposed 45 days after receipt.	Sample Archive/Disposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Standard <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Return To:	Container(s)	ESERVATION
	* \$10.00 FEE PER HAZARDOUS SAMPLE DISPOSAL.		

T	E	M	LAB USE ONLY: Batch #:	Sample Description	Sample I.D.	Date	Time	TAT #	Type	REMARKS
16060 - 001			3111-001/EP-1		11/23					
007			002/EP-2							
007			001/EP-4							
004			005/EP-6							
005			006/EP-1							
006			001/WP-2							
007			001/WP-4							
008			001/WP-6							

• TAT starts 8 a.m. following day if samples received after 5 p.m.

Preservatives:
H=HCl N=HNO₃ S=H₂SO₄ C=4 C Z=Zn(AC)₂ O=NaOH T=Na₂SiO₃

DISTRIBUTION: White with report, Yellow to folder, Pink to submitter.

E=7 Workdays

D=3 Workdays

C=2 Workdays

B=Next Workday

A=≤ 24 hr

TAT: A=